

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

PCT

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year) **28.04.2005**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

**PCT/RU2005/000034**

International filing date (day/month/year)

**03.02.2005**

Priority date (day/month/year)

**30.03.2004**

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

**A47C1/00, B60N2/00**

Applicant

**SOLODOVNIKOV, Vladimir Alexandrovich**

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/RU

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/RU2005/000034

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material  
☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
☐ in written format  
☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/RU2005/000034

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-5	YES
	Claims	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-5	YES
	Claims	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-5	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Sources of information:

D1 WO 2001/040012

D2 US 4655505

D3 US 3983640

D4 DE 3817419

D1 is the closest prior art with respect to the claimed invention.

D1 discloses a seat with inflating support cushions, which comprises inflatable chambers disposed in the seat and back rest, said inflatable chambers having a pressure adjustment system provided with a set of air charging and air release valves connected to the chambers.

The invention as per claim 1 differs from that known from D1 in that the seat comprises at least one exercise means with a pneumatic loader and the pressure adjustment system is equipped with a receiver, which is connected to the set of air charging and air release valves and the loader air cavity, a set of adjusting valves with a mode selector switch and a drainage control valve for

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/RU2005/000034

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

controlling the pressure in the receiver, which provides for increased comfort during use owing to the possibility of selecting an operating mode acceptable to the user.

Although D2-D4 disclose seats equipped with exercise means, none of said documents discloses using the adjustment system to connect the inflatable chambers of the seat and back rest with the exercise means, which makes it possible to select the necessary exercise mode for the user.

Since the prior art (D2-D4) does not disclose the distinguishing features as per claim 1, it can be concluded that claim 1 and dependent claims 2-5 meet the requirements for novelty and inventive step.

The invention as per claims 1-5 is industrially applicable.

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year) **28.04.2005**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

**PCT/RU2005/000034**

International filing date (day/month/year)

**03.02.2005**

Priority date (day/month/year)

**30.03.2004**

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

**A47C1/00, B60N2/00**

Applicant

**SOLODOVNIKOV, Vladimir Alexandrovich**

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/RU

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/RU2005/000034

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material  
☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
☐ in written format  
☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
**PCT/RU2005/000034**

**Box No. V** Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-5</u>	YES
	Claims _____	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>1-5</u>	YES
	Claims _____	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-5</u>	YES
	Claims _____	NO

**2. Citations and explanations:**

Sources of information:

D1 WO 2001/040012  
D2 US 4655505  
D3 US 3983640  
D4 DE 3817419

D1 is the closest prior art with respect to the claimed invention.

D1 discloses a seat with inflating support cushions, which comprises inflatable chambers disposed in the seat and back rest, said inflatable chambers having a pressure adjustment system provided with a set of air charging and air release valves connected to the chambers.

The invention as per claim 1 differs from that known from D1 in that the seat comprises at least one exercise means with a pneumatic loader and the pressure adjustment system is equipped with a receiver, which is connected to the set of air charging and air release valves and the loader air cavity, a set of adjusting valves with a mode selector switch and a drainage control valve for

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/RU2005/000034

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

controlling the pressure in the receiver, which provides for increased comfort during use owing to the possibility of selecting an operating mode acceptable to the user.

Although D2-D4 disclose seats equipped with exercise means, none of said documents discloses using the adjustment system to connect the inflatable chambers of the seat and back rest with the exercise means, which makes it possible to select the necessary exercise mode for the user.

Since the prior art (D2-D4) does not disclose the distinguishing features as per claim 1, it can be concluded that claim 1 and dependent claims 2-5 meet the requirements for novelty and inventive step.

The invention as per claims 1-5 is industrially applicable.